

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,537	05/07/2001	Tonglong Zhang	1875.0370000	7984	
7590 02/25/2005 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. Suite 600			EXAMINER		
			LEWIS, N	LEWIS, MONICA	
1100 New York Avenue, N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-	
Washington, I	OC 20005-3934		2822		

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

13. 🔲 Other: \_\_

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/849,537	ZHANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Monica Lewis	2822		

7. av. 50. y 7. 50. 6	00,040,001	217.11.0					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Monica Lewis	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
□ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or		inatad alaima					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected cialms.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ovided below or appended.	•					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant argues that "the module described in Culnane exposed conductive layer 26 would be directly attached 254 and 260 of Culnane would be precluded, preventing	e includes a flip chipin the combine to the active surface of flip chipt	nation of Culnane and hus, routing between	l Jamieson, copper pads				
16 of Jamieson, vias cannot be present under an integring However, Jamieson discloses that "vias (not shown) macircuit 12 to the solder balls 18" (For Example: See Columbia 18) (For Example: See Columbia 18) (For Example)	ated circuit chip or die, in contrast tay be formed in the substrate 16 to	to the assertion in the electrically connect the	Office Action." ne integrated				
precluded and that the module of Culnane would operat			TOUR HOLDE				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 02192005

Mary Wilczewski Primary Examiner